Attorney's Docket No.:	003924.P005	PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name. I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled CALL CENTER MANAGEMENT FOR WIRELESS ACCESS NETWORK the specification of which is attached hereto. was filed on (MM/DD/YYYY) ______ as
United States Application Number ______ or PCT International Application Number_____ and was amended on (MM/DD/YYYY) _____ (if applicable) I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified

specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application	<u>1(s)</u>		Priority <u>Claimed</u>	
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

60/244,722	10/30/00
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)
Application Number	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)

Rev. 08/16/01 (D2)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

A 12 B.L Is	/E'': - D - I	LANA (D.D. A.O.O.O.O.		
Application Number	er (Filing Date	- MM/DD/YYYY)	Status p	patented, pending, abandoned
Application Number	er (Filing Date	- MM/DD/YYYY)	Status p	patented, pending, abandoned
part of this document substitution and revoc) as my respective par	tent attorneys and is application and	patent agen	orated by reference and a ts, with full power of Ill business in the Patent
Send corresponden	ce to <u>Daniel E. Ov</u>		, BLAKELY	, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR
ZAFMAN LLP. 12400	(Name of Attor Wilshire Boulevard		ngeles. Cali	fornia 90025 and direct
telephone calls to	Daniel E. Ovanezia	<u>in</u> , (408	720-8300.	
	(Name of Attorney	or Agent)		
statements made of	i iiiioiiiialioii aliu be	nei are beneved	iu de ilile. S	
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p	de with the knowled ne or imprisonment, it such willful false s atent issued thereor	lge that willful fal or both, under S statements may je n.	se statemer ection 1001	nd further that these hts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p	de with the knowled ne or imprisonment, it such willful false s	lge that willful fal or both, under S statements may je n.	se statemer ection 1001	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fir.	de with the knowled ne or imprisonment, it such willful false s atent issued thereor st Inventor <u>Mukesh</u>	lge that willful fal or both, under S statements may je n.	se statemer section 1001 eopardize th	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fin	de with the knowled ne or imprisonment, it such willful false s atent issued thereor	Ige that willful fall or both, under Statements may job.	se statemer section 1001 eopardize th	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fin	de with the knowled ne or imprisonment, it such willful false s atent issued thereor st Inventor <u>Mukesh</u>	Ige that willful fall or both, under Statements may job.	se statemer section 1001 eopardize th	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fir.	ade with the knowled ne or imprisonment, it such willful false satent issued thereor st Inventor Mukesh San Jose, California (City, State)	Ige that willful fall or both, under Statements may job. Sundaram Citi	se statemer section 1001 eopardize th	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fire Inventor's Signature _ Residence	st Inventor Mukesh San Jose, California (City, State) San Jose, California	Ige that willful fall or both, under Statements may job. Sundaram Citi	se statemer section 1001 eopardize th	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fir. Inventor's Signature Residence Post Office Address Full Name of Second	st Inventor Mukesh San Jose, California (City, State) San Jose, California (City, State)	Ige that willful fall or both, under Statements may jent. Sundaram Citi 95118 Uppaluru	se statemer section 1001 eopardize th	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fir. Inventor's Signature Residence Post Office Address Full Name of Second	st Inventor Mukesh San Jose, California (City, State) San Jose, California	Ige that willful fall or both, under Statements may jent. Sundaram Citi 95118 Uppaluru	se statemer section 1001 eopardize th	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fir. Inventor's Signature Post Office Address Full Name of Second Inventor's Signature	st Inventor Mukesh San Jose, California (City, State) San Jose, California (City, State) San Jose, California (City, State) Joint Inventor Prem	Ige that willful fall or both, under Statements may job. Sundaram Citi Citi Uppaluru	se statemer section 1001 separdize the Date	nts and the like so made of Title 18 of the United e validity of the
statements were ma are punishable by fi States Code and tha application or any p Full Name of Sole/Fir. Inventor's Signature Residence Post Office Address Full Name of Second	st Inventor Mukesh San Jose, California (City, State) San Jose, California (City, State)	Ige that willful fall or both, under Statements may job. Sundaram Citi Citi Uppaluru	se statemer section 1001 eopardize the Date sizenship	of Title 18 of the United of Title 18 of the United e validity of the o/29/0/ U.S.A. (Country)

Cupertino, California 95014

APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; Peggy S. Avalos, Reg. No. 42,274; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Lisa N. Benado, Reg. No. 39,995; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Jae-Hee Choi, Reg No. 45,288; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Robert P. Cogan, Reg. No. 25,049; Donna Jo Coningsby, Reg. No. 41,684; Florin Corie, Reg. No. 46,244; Mimi Diemmy Dao, Reg. No. 45,628; Dennis M. deGuzman, Reg. No. 41,702; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; Sanjeet Dutta, Reg. No. 46,145; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; Thomas S. Ferrill, Reg. No. 42,532; Mark J. Fink, Reg. No. 45,270; George Fountain, Reg. No. 37,374; Andre Gibbs, Reg. No. 47,593; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Alan Heimlich, Reg. No. P48,808; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Libby H. Ho, Reg. No. 46,774; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; Steve Laut, Reg. No. 47,736; George Brian Leavell, Reg. No. 45,436; Samual S. Lee, Reg. No. 42791; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Carol Little, Reg. No. 41,181; Julio Loza, Reg. No. 47,758; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, Reg. No. 48,095; Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Clive D. Menezes, Reg. No. 45,493; Richard A. Nakashima, Reg. No. 42,023; Stephen Neal Reg. No. 47,815; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Robert B. O'Rourke, Reg. No. 46,972; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Kenneth B. Paley, Reg. No. 38,989; Gregg A. Peacock, Reg. No. 45,001; Marina Portnova, Reg. No. 45,750; Michael A. Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; Randol W. Read, Reg. No. 43,876; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey S. Schubert, Reg. No. 43,098; George Simion, Reg. No. P47,089; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Ronald S. Tamura, Reg. No. 43,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lance A. Termes, Reg. No. 43,184; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; Kerry P. Tweet, Reg. No. 45,959; Mark C. Van Ness, Reg. No. 39,865; Tom Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Archana B. Vittal, Reg. No. 45,182; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. 46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. 46,154; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Firasat Ali, Reg. No. 45,715; Charles P. Landrum, Reg. No. 46,855; Suk S. Lee, Reg. No. 47,745; and Raul Martinez, Reg. No. 46,904, Brent E. Vecchia, Reg. No. P48,011; Lehua Wang, Reg. No. P48,023; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-inpart application.